JOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Calvin Howell

From:

adamssac@wmconnect.com

Sent:

Thursday, November 20, 2003 9:40 PM

To:

Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; ECFS

adamssac@wmconnect.com; senator@inouye.senate.gov; john kerry@kerry.senate.gov;

senator@breaux.senate.gov; senator@rockefeller.senate.gov; senator@dorgan.senate.gov;

senator@boxer.senate.gov; senator_carnahan@carnahan.senate.gov;

conrad_burns@burns.senate.gov; senatorlott@lott.senate.gov;

senator@hutchison.senate.gov; olympia@snowe.senate.gov; oregon@gsmith.senate.gov; tellupton@mail.house.gov; christopher.cox@mail.house.gov; ask.heather@mail.house.gov;

vito.fossella@mail.house.gov; blunt@mail.house.gov; tom.davis@mail.house.gov; ehrlich@mail.house.gov; talk2lee@mail.house.gov; ninthnet@mail.house.gov

Subject:

Universal Service Fee Complaint

RECEIVED

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>11/20/03

<NAME>Susan Adams

<ADDRESS1>6302 Parkway Avenue

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>Columbus

<STATE>GA

<ZIP>31909

<LAW-FIRM>n/a

<ATTORNEY>n/a

<FILE-NUMBER>n/a

<DOCUMENT-TYPE>CO

<PHONE-NUMBER>706/561-0439

<DESCRIPTION>Universal Service Fund Complaint <CONTACT-EMAIL>adamssac@wmconnect.com

<TEXT>

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street, SW

File No. L-00-72.

Room 8B201

Washington, D.C. 20554 (202) 418-1000 phone

JAN - 6 2004

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

Reference: FCC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and NSD

Dear FCC:

I am writing to complain about the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund and requesting that the FCC investigate this matter further before changing the current policy. Your proposed \$1.00 per month charge for all wireless phones will directly impact my ability to retain my wireless service.

I do not think it is fair to charge EVERYBODY \$1.00 dollar regardless of how they use their wireless phone, especially for a low-volume user that relies on wireless service for safety and security, not interstate calls. The current policy is fair, based on interstate usage, and should be left alone. Please do not penalize us. Keep this fair.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please provide a written response indicating the status/resolution of this matter.

Very truly yours,

Susan Adams 6302 Parkway Avenue No. of Copies rec'd /

Chairman Michael K. Powell

Commissioner Kevin J. Martin

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Commissioner Michael J. Copps

Stephanie Kost

From:

atwater@mail.riverview.net

Sent:

Thursday, December 18, 2003 5:52 PM

To:

Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; ECFS

Cc:

atwater@mail.riverview.net; senator@inouye.senate.gov; john_kerry@kerry.senate.gov; senator@breaux.senate.gov; senator@rockefeller.senate.gov; senator@dorgan.senate.gov;

senator@boxer.senate.gov; senator_carnahan@carnahan.senate.gov;

conrad_burns@burns.senate.gov; senatorlott@lott.senate.gov;

senator@hutchison.senate.gov; olympia@snowe.senate.gov; oregon@gsmith.senate.gov; tellupton@mail.house.gov; christopher.cox@mail.house.gov; ask.heather@mail.house.gov;

vito.fossella@mail.house.gov; blunt@mail.house.gov; tom.davis@mail.house.gov; ehrlich@mail.house.gov; talk2lee@mail.house.gov; ninthnet@mail.house.gov

Subject:

Universal Service Fee Complaint

RECEIVED

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>12/18/03

<NAME>Linda Atwater

<ADDRESS1>5974 E. 28th St.

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>White cloud

<STATE>MI

<ZIP>49349

<LAW-FIRM>n/a

<ATTORNEY>n/a

<FILE-NUMBER>n/a

<DOCUMENT-TYPE>CO

<PHONE-NUMBER>231-689-6846

<DESCRIPTION>Universal Service Fund Complaint <CONTACT-EMAIL>atwater@mail.riverview.net

<TEXT>

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street, SW

Room 8B201

Washington, D.C. 20554 (202) 418-1000 phone

JAN - 6 2004

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

Chairman Michael K. Powell Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Commissioner Michael J. Copps Commissioner Kevin J. Martin

Reference: FCC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and NSD File No. L-00-72.

Dear FCC:

I am writing to complain about the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund and requesting that the FCC investigate this matter further before changing the current policy. Your proposed \$1.00 per month charge for all wireless phones will directly impact my ability to retain my wireless service.

I do not think it is fair to charge EVERYBODY \$1.00 dollar regardless of how they use their wireless phone, especially for a low-volume user that relies on wireless service for safety and security, not interstate calls. The current policy is fair, based on interstate usage, and should be left alone. Please do not penalize us. Keep this fair.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please provide a written response indicating the status/resolution of this matter.

Very truly yours,

Linda Atwater 5974 E. 28th St. No of Copies rec'd_

Calvin Howell

From:

JSCIRCO@AOL,COM

Sent:

Federal Communications Commission

To: Cc: Tuesday, November 11, 2003 10:07 AM

Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB: ECFS Office of the Secretary JSCIRCO@AOL.COM; senator@inouye.senate.gov; john kerry@kerry.senate.gov;

senator@breaux.senate.gov; senator@rockefeller.senate.gov; senator@dorgan.senate.gov;

senator@boxer.senate.gov; senator_carnahan@carnahan.senate.gov;

conrad_burns@burns.senate.gov: senatorlott@lott.senate.gov:

senator@hutchison.senate.gov; olympia@snowe.senate.gov; oregon@gsmith.senate.gov; tellupton@mail.house.gov; christopher.cox@mail.house.gov; ask.heather@mail.house.gov;

vito.fossella@mail.house.gov; blunt@mail.house.gov; tom.davis@mail.house.gov; ehrlich@mail.house.gov; talk2lee@mail.house.gov; ninthnet@mail.house.gov

Universal Service Fee Complaint Subject:

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>11/11/03

<NAME>JOANNE S. CIRCO

<ADDRESS1>20 COLERIDGE ROAD

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>HOLBROOK

<STATE>NY

<ZIP>11741

<LAW-FIRM>n/a

<ATTORNEY>n/a

<FILE-NUMBER>n/a

<DOCUMENT-TYPE>CO

< PHONE - NUMBER > 631 - 585 - 2114

<DESCRIPTION>Universal Service Fund Complaint <CONTACT-EMAIL>JSCIRCO@AOL.COM <TEXT> Chairman Michael K. Powell

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street, SW

Room 8B201

Washington, D.C. 20554 (202) 418-1000 phone

Commissioner Kevin J. Martin

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Commissioner Michael J. Copps

Reference: FCC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and NSD File No. L-00-72.

Dear FCC:

I am writing to complain about the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund and requesting that the FCC investigate this matter further before changing the current policy. Your proposed \$1.00 per month charge for all wireless phones will directly impact my ability to retain my wireless service.

I do not think it is fair to charge EVERYBODY \$1.00 dollar regardless of how they use their wireless phone, especially for a low-volume user that relies on wireless service for safety and security, not interstate calls. The current policy is fair, based on interstate usage, and should be left alone. Please do not penalize us. Keep this fair.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please provide a written response indicating the status/resolution of this matter.

Very truly yours,

JOANNE S. CIRCO 20 COLERIDGE ROAD No of Copies rec'd

CC: FCC Subcommittee Members

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Calvin Howell

From:

Andrew Henderson [andrewhenderson@fusemail.com]

Sent:

Friday, November 07, 2003 7:29 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

USF Changes are Wrong

RECEIVED

Andrew Henderson 1655 E University Dr, Ste 3042 Tempe, AZ 85281-8498

JAN - 6 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

November 7, 2003

Federal Communications Commission Chair Michael Powell FCC 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Federal Communications Commission Chair Powell:

CC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and NSD File No. L-00-72.

I am opposed to the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund. I urge the FCC to carefully consider the impact of these changes on consumers before changing the current system. Charging \$1 or more per month regardless of how much or how little we use our phone is not fair. This will greatly increase the cost of phone service and it could impact the ability for myself and others to afford landline and/or wireless service.

The USF was created to make phone service affordable in rural America and was updated to increase the availability of communication services to schools, libraries, rural health centers, educational institutions and low-income individuals in the United States. Now you want to change it and I do not think it is fair to charge everybody \$1 dollar per month regardless of how much or how little they use their wireless phone for interstate calls.

The proposed change is especially unfair for low-volume users that rely on wireless service for safety and security, and who make few, if any, long distance calls. A contribution system is fair, equitable and nondiscriminatory and should be left alone. Please do not penalize wireless phone customers. Keep this fair. We don't have a blanket income tax on our annual salaries nor do we have the same sales tax on a pack of gum and an automobile, so why should there be a "one size fits all" charge for wireless phones?

Sincerely,

Andrew Henderson

No. List	of C	opies DE	rec'd_	4	 ~~

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Calvin Howell

From:

Athan Manuel [ariadnevan@mindspring.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 10, 2003 2:50 PM

To:

Michael Powell

Subject:

USF Changes Concern Me

RECEIVED

Athan Manuel 3803 Alton Place, Nw Washington, DC 20016-2207

JAN - 6 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

November 10, 2003

Federal Communications Commission Chair Michael Powell FCC 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Federal Communications Commission Chair Powell:

CC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and NSD File No. L-00-72.

I am opposed to the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund. I urge the FCC to carefully consider the impact of these changes on consumers before changing the current system. Charging \$1 or more per month regardless of how much or how little we use our phone is not fair. This will greatly increase the cost of phone service and it could impact the ability for myself and others to afford landline and/or wireless service.

The USF was created to make phone service affordable in rural America and was updated to increase the availability of communication services to schools, libraries, rural health centers, educational institutions and low-income individuals in the United States. Now you want to change it and I do not think it is fair to charge everybody \$1 dollar per month regardless of how much or how little they use their wireless phone for interstate calls.

The proposed change is especially unfair for low-volume users that rely on wireless service for safety and security, and who make few, if any, long distance calls. A contribution system is fair, equitable and nondiscriminatory and should be left alone. Please do not penalize wireless phone customers. Keep this fair. We don't have a blanket income tax on our annual salaries nor do we have the same sales tax on a pack of gum and an automobile, so why should there be a "one size fits all" charge for wireless phones?

Sincerely,

Athan Manuel

No. of Copies rec'd_____ List ABCDE